

VASSAR COLLEGE  
POUGHKEEPSIE · NEW YORK 12604  
*Department of Economics*

GEOFFREY A. JEHLE  
jehle@vassar.edu

PHONE: 845-437-5210  
FAX: 845-437-7576

December 2, 2000

On Exercise 3.15, part (b), as corrected.

Recall the corrected problem asks you to show that for the CES form  $y = (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^\rho)^{1/\rho}$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$  and  $0 \neq \rho < 1$ , we will have  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow -\infty} y = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

It may be counter-intuitive, as Bettina has noted, but it is nonetheless true that the limiting form of this CES form as the parameter  $\rho \rightarrow -\infty$  is the simple (unweighted) Leontief form. To see this most clearly, let's just take the two input case. The arguments generalize straightforwardly. We begin by noting the following:

**Lemma** For  $(x_1, x_2) \geq (0, 0)$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [\alpha x_1^\rho + (1 - \alpha)x_2^\rho]^{1/\rho} = \max\{x_1, x_2\}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose WLOG that  $x_1 = \max\{x_1, x_2\}$ . Then for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\rho \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{1/\rho} x_1 &\leq \alpha x_1 \\ &= [\alpha^\rho x_1^\rho]^{1/\rho} \\ &\leq [\alpha x_1^\rho]^{1/\rho} \\ &\leq [\alpha x_1^\rho + (1 - \alpha)x_2^\rho]^{1/\rho} \\ &\leq [x_1^\rho]^{1/\rho} \\ &= x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using the first, fourth and final lines we may write

$$\alpha^{1/\rho} x_1 \leq [\alpha x_1^\rho + (1 - \alpha)x_2^\rho]^{1/\rho} \leq x_1.$$

Taking the limit in this expression as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  establishes the claim in the lemma. ■

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} [\alpha x_1^\rho + (1 - \alpha)x_2^\rho]^{1/\rho} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [\alpha x_1^{-\rho} + (1 - \alpha)x_2^{-\rho}]^{-1/\rho} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left[ \alpha \left( \frac{1}{x_1} \right)^\rho + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{x_2} \right)^\rho \right]^{1/\rho}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\max\left\{ \frac{1}{x_1}, \frac{1}{x_2} \right\}} \\ &= \min\{x_1, x_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

where the second to last line follows from the lemma, above, establishing the proposition.