

### Exercise 1.56

(a) The Stone-Geary utility function is  $U(\mathbf{x}) = \prod (x_j - a_j)^{b_j}$  where  $\sum b_j = 1$ .

We want the expenditure function so we minimize expenditure subject to the utility level being at least  $u$ .

The Lagrangian is  $L = \sum p_i x_i - \lambda [\prod (x_j - a_j)^{b_j} - u]$ .

The first order conditions are

$$p_i - \lambda b_i (x_i - a_i)^{-1} \prod (x_j - a_j)^{b_j} = 0 \text{ all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{FOC1})$$

$$\text{and } \prod (x_j - a_j)^{b_j} = u. \quad (\text{FOC2})$$

Both  $x_i$  and  $\lambda$  are functions of  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $u$ .

The first order conditions give  $p_i x_i - p_i a_i = \lambda b_i u$  all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Equation (1)

So  $\lambda u = (p_i / b_i)(x_i - a_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Hence  $x_j - a_j = (p_i / b_i)(x_i - a_i)(b_j / p_j)$  all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  as  $\lambda u$  is independent from  $i$ .

Substitute this in FOC2.

So  $u = \prod [(p_i / b_i)(x_i - a_i)(b_j / p_j)]^{b_j}$  where the product is over  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod [(p_i / b_i)(x_i - a_i)]^{b_j} \prod (b_j / p_j)^{b_j} \\ &= (p_i / b_i)(x_i - a_i) \prod (b_j / p_j)^{b_j} \text{ using } \sum b_j = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $p_i x_i = p_i a_i + b_i u \prod (p_j / b_j)^{b_j}$  Equation (2)

Summing equation (2) over  $i$  and using  $\sum b_i = 1$ , gives

$e(\mathbf{p}, u) = \sum p_i x_i = \sum p_i a_i + u \prod (p_j / b_j)^{b_j}$  as the expenditure function for the Stone-Geary utility function.

Since  $e(\mathbf{p}, u) = y$ , it follows that  $v(\mathbf{p}, y) = (y - \sum p_i a_i) \prod (b_j / p_j)^{b_j}$  is the indirect utility function for the Stone-Geary utility function.

(b) The first part of the question concerns the Hicksian demands  $x_i(\mathbf{p}, u)$  but this part is about the Marshallian demands  $x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, y)$ . There are at least three ways to go about answering this part. The first way is to maximize  $U(\mathbf{x})$  subject to the budget

constraint. The second way is to use the equality between  $x_i(\mathbf{p}, u)$  and  $x^*_i(\mathbf{p}, y)$  when  $y = e(\mathbf{p}, u)$ , sum over Equation (1) to get  $\sum p_i x^*_i - \sum p_i a_i = \sum \lambda b_i u = \lambda u$  and then substitute back into Equation (1) to get the result.

The posh way is to use Roy's Identity.

We have  $\delta v(\mathbf{p}, y) / \delta y = \Pi (b_j / p_j)^{b_j}$

and  $\delta v(\mathbf{p}, y) / \delta p_i = [ - (b_i / p_i) y - a_i + (b_i / p_i) \sum p_i a_i ] \Pi (b_j / p_j)^{b_j}$ .

By Roy's Identity,  $x^*_i(\mathbf{p}, y) = - \delta v(\mathbf{p}, y) / \delta p_i / \delta v(\mathbf{p}, y) / \delta y$  and the result

$p_i x^*_i(\mathbf{p}, y) = p_i a_i + b_i (y - \sum p_i a_i)$  follows.

Exercise 1.56

Martin Caley