

## CHAPTER 1

- 1.2 Use the definitions.
- 1.4 To get you started, take the indifference relation. Consider any three points  $\mathbf{x}^i \in X, i = 1, 2, 3$ , where  $\mathbf{x}^1 \sim \mathbf{x}^2$  and  $\mathbf{x}^2 \sim \mathbf{x}^3$ . We want to show that  $\mathbf{x}^1 \sim \mathbf{x}^2$  and  $\mathbf{x}^2 \sim \mathbf{x}^3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^1 \sim \mathbf{x}^3$ . By definition of  $\sim, \mathbf{x}^1 \sim \mathbf{x}^2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^1 \succsim \mathbf{x}^2$  and  $\mathbf{x}^2 \succsim \mathbf{x}^1$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{x}^2 \sim \mathbf{x}^3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^2 \succsim \mathbf{x}^3$  and  $\mathbf{x}^3 \succsim \mathbf{x}^2$ . By transitivity of  $\succsim, \mathbf{x}^1 \succsim \mathbf{x}^2$  and  $\mathbf{x}^2 \succsim \mathbf{x}^3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^1 \succsim \mathbf{x}^3$ . Keep going.
- 1.16 For (a), suppose there is some other feasible bundle  $\mathbf{x}'$ , where  $\mathbf{x}' \sim \mathbf{x}^*$ . Use the fact that  $B$  is convex, together with strict convexity of preferences, to derive a contradiction. For (b), suppose not. Use strict monotonicity to derive a contradiction.
- 1.22 Use a method similar to that employed in (1.11) to eliminate the Lagrangian multiplier and reduce  $(n + 1)$  conditions to only  $n$  conditions.
- 1.23 For part (2), see Axiom 5': Note that the sets  $\succsim(\mathbf{x})$  are precisely the superior sets for the function  $u(\mathbf{x})$ . Recall Theorem A1.14.
- 1.27 Sketch out the indifference map.
- 1.28 Set down all first-order conditions. Look at the one for choice of  $x_0^*$ . Use the constraint, and find a geometric series. Does it converge?
- 1.31 Feel free to assume that any necessary derivatives exist.
- 1.32 Roy's identity.
- 1.40 Theorem A2.6.
- 1.45 Euler's theorem and any demand function,  $x_i(\mathbf{p}, y)$ .
- 1.46 For part (a), start with the definition of  $e(\mathbf{p}, 1)$ . Multiply the constraint by  $u$  and invoke homogeneity. Let  $\mathbf{z} \equiv u\mathbf{x}$  and rewrite the objective function as a choice over  $\mathbf{z}$ .
- 1.51 Take each inequality separately. Write the one as

$$\frac{\partial x_i(\mathbf{p}, y)/\partial y}{x_i(\mathbf{p}, y)} \leq \frac{\bar{\eta}}{y}.$$

Integrate both sides of the inequality from  $\bar{y}$  to  $y$  and look for logs. Take it from there.

- 1.53 For part (b),

$$v(\mathbf{p}, y) = A^* y \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{-\alpha_i},$$

where  $A^* = A \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{\alpha_i}$ .

- 1.59 Use Slutsky.
- 1.62 No hints on this.
- 1.65 For (b),  $u^0$  must be  $v(\mathbf{p}^0, y^0)$ , right? Rewrite the denominator.
- 1.66 For (a), you need the expenditure function and you need to figure out  $u^0$ . For (b),  $I = (u^0 - 1/8)/(2u^0 - 1)$ . For (c), if you could show that the expenditure function must be multiplicatively separable in prices and utility, the rest would be easy.